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INTRODUCTION

Sucrose concentration of sugarbeets (Beta vulgaris L.) grown in the U.S. varies over a wide range of 10 to 20 percent. Within a climatic zone such as southern Idaho, sucrose concentration varies over a narrower but still wide range of 14 to 20 percent. This variation in sucrose concentration is due to many factors that include variety (19, 24, 26), nitrogen (N) level (18, 23), growth patterns of the crop (3, 16, 25, 29), climatic conditions (1, 22, 28), and other factors that are not fully understood. Refined sucrose production is based on the product of root yield and extractable sucrose concentration. Therefore, it is of prime importance to have practices and conditions that provide adequate root growth while maintaining sufficiently high sucrose percentages and purity for profitable sucrose extraction and yield.

Sugarbeet quality, mainly due to sucrose concentration, has been steadily decreasing in southern Idaho as well as other sugarbeet growing areas of the U.S. since the early 1950’s (2). This decrease in beet root quality has accompanied an increase and in some cases, excessive use of N fertilizer for the growth of this crop (14, 15). However, within seasons between adjacent fields where the total available soil and fertilizer N were similar, large differences have been measured in sucrose concentration and root quality. These differences in the quality factors may be due to differences in time and amount of N uptake, irrigation levels, cultural practices or other unknown reasons. A better understanding of the reason for this decrease in suc-
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higher N rates decreased the N fertilizer efficiency (Eₙ) and N uptake below those of other treatments which was probably due to the short time period between N application and cessation of active plant growth.

The wet root (Figure 2A) and dry root (Figure 2B) sucrose concentrations and root percent dry matter (Figure 2C) at harvest were linearly decreased with increased total N uptake by the plants that was varied by preplant and seasonal N additions. Applying N during the growing season and subsequent late season N uptake reduced sucrose percentage more than did the N preplant application. This was particularly noticeable from the mid-August N application. The assumption can be made that the decrease in sucrose concentration in the wet roots with N addition and N uptake by the plant was caused primarily by a decrease in the dry matter concentration of the roots and by a lesser but still important decrease in the sucrose concentration in the dry matter. Therefore, this relationship between N uptake level and dry matter concentration was associated with a strong positive relationship between percent dry matter and sucrose concentration in the beet roots (Figure 2D).

Sucrose concentration of the dry roots (Figure 3A, B) and wet roots (Figure 3C, D) during the season was dependent upon the N fertility level and time the N was taken up by the sugar-beet. Increasing the N uptake by N fertilizer addition either preplant or during the season, generally decreased both the sucrose concentration in the wet and dry roots from the time of application until harvest. When 112 kg N/ha was applied preplant, which was the rate for maximum sucrose yield, the sucrose concentration of the wet and dry roots was at least equal to that of the check by the end of the season. However, sucrose concentration generally was decreased by each increase in the N application rate and by each delay in its application time.

Sucrose concentration of the wet and dry roots increased most rapidly during June and July for the check and for all preplant N treatments. From late July until harvest, the rate of increase in sucrose concentration of the wet roots was rather uniform when no N was applied during this period. The rate of increase in sucrose concentration of the beets fertilized at mid-
season was greater during the latter part of the growing season than those fertilized earlier. This would indicate that an extended season through good weather conditions for plant growth during September and October in the intermountain area or delaying harvest as long as weather conditions permit should improve both sucrose yield and concentrations regardless of the N nutritional status of the crop.

The decrease in the sucrose concentration with N addition preplant of the season was due to a decrease in the percent sucrose of the dry matter and to a decrease in dry matter concentration in the wet roots at all plant growth stages at one location in 1976, 1977, and 1978 (Table 1). A change in sucrose concentration between locations during the same season or between seasons was also due to a change in these two components in the beet root at harvest. Although sucrose concentration of the dry matter influenced the sucrose concentration in the wet roots, the major changes due to location-to-location, year-to-year variation or N uptake by the plant occurred because of a change in the dry matter concentration in the beet roots. High sucrose concentration in the wet roots occurred when both

Table 1. Effect of N fertilizer level and time of sampling on dry matter and sucrose concentrations in sugar beet roots in 1976, 1977, and 1978.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1976</th>
<th>1977</th>
<th>1978</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. Suc.</td>
<td>Dry Matter</td>
<td>Sucrose, Dry</td>
<td>Sucrose, Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High N</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Sucrose percentage of the dry root (A, B) and wet root (C, D) as affected by time of sampling, N fertilizer level, and time of N application in 1977.
dry matter concentration and percent sucrose of the dry matter were high and low levels of sucrose were obtained when both of these components were low. This may be due to year-to-year variation or to treatment within any particular year. Excessive or late additions of N fertilizer and uptake by the plants may make large decreases in sucrose concentration of the wet root because there is generally a major reduction in both these components in the roots. Therefore, any treatment or agronomic practice that will maintain a high dry matter concentration and sucrose level of the dry matter will assure a high quality beet root for processing.

Sucrose concentration of the wet roots was dramatically influenced by moisture stress of the plant during the growing season and at harvest (Figure 4C, D). When irrigation water was adequate, sucrose concentration increased most rapidly during June and July and progressed at a rather constant decreased rate from late July until harvest. If an irrigation was delayed or stopped, sucrose concentration of the wet roots started to increase significantly above the control about 2 weeks after the last irrigation when the surface soil became dry and the sugarbeet leaves showed signs of water stress. The rate of increase in sucrose concentration was generally higher during this initial period of plant stress. Following this initial large increase in sucrose concentration due to water stress, the rate of increase was similar to that of the control. The increase in sucrose concentration above that in the control was not evident when sucrose concentration was calculated on a dry weight basis (Figure 4A, B). This indicated that the increase in sucrose concentration as determined on a wet weight basis was largely due to dehydration of the roots. This was further shown in 1978 on all treatments by the decrease in sucrose concentration after the water application by irrigation or rainfall to stressed plants. This demonstrated that irrigation level can influence the dry matter and sucrose concentration in beet roots at harvest. However, root quality may be improved by increased sucrose concentration, but sucrose yield will not be benefitted by this practice.

Total N uptake by the sugarbeets at harvest was linearly related to \( N_T \) at each of eight sites in 1972 with the amount and rate of N uptake varying with site and treatment (Figure 5A). The amount or rate of total N uptake with N fertilizer (\( N_F \)) addition at each site had little relationship with the starting \( N_T \) values. Sucrose concentration of the roots was also linearly related to \( N_T \) at each of the eight sites with the rate of decrease with increased \( N_T \) varying with the site (Figure 5B). If the slopes of the regression lines for increased N uptake and decreased sucrose concentration with increased \( N_T \) are used in a regression analysis, the rate of decrease in sucrose (S) concentration depended upon the rate of increase in total plant N uptake (\( N_{up} \)) with fertilizer addition \( \hat{y}(A/S/A N_T) = 0.0055 - 0.0141 (A N_{up}/A N_T) \), \( r = 0.89 \) or \( \hat{y}(A/A N_T) = 0.00083 - 0.0094 (A N_{up}/A N_T) \) at 65% N fertilizer efficiency \( E_F \), \( r = 0.89 \). There was very little relationship between the \( N_T \) values and the N uptake at the various sites and the starting (check) sucrose concentration values in 1972 \( \hat{y}(%) = 17.39 - 0.0018 N_{up} \), \( r = \)
Figure 5. Effect of total available N (N_f) on total N uptake (A) and sucrose percentage (B) at different locations in southern Idaho in 1972. Regression line is N_f range for each site. Arrows indicate maximum sucrose yield N_f level for each site.

0.22]. However, a better relationship was obtained between these components at the different sites in 1971 \( y(\%S) = 19.66 - 0.0105 \, N_{up}, \ r = 0.73 \). This would indicate that between sites and location, there was a factor or factors other than total N uptake that was influencing the components that determine sucrose concentration in beet roots.

When the various parameters that influence sucrose concentration in beet roots were compared at maximum sucrose yield, an excellent correlation generally existed between these components if the data were obtained at one location during any one year (Table 2). If these same parameters were compared using data from different locations during the same year or at the same location during different years, correlations were smaller. However, the better correlations using data at all locations and years existed between the total N uptake and percent dry matter or sucrose concentration of the wet roots and between dry matter and sucrose concentration of the wet roots.
This relationship between N uptake, percent dry matter and sucrose concentration would indicate that the amount of N uptake necessary to achieve maximum sucrose yield and its effect on dry matter concentration was a major contributing factor to the variation in sucrose concentration in the wet roots at the various locations and between different years. However, other factors were contributing to these differences in sucrose concentration.

One of the closest correlations at the different locations and different years was the effect of percent dry matter on sucrose concentration. The effect of these factors was compared in Figure 6. The slopes of the regression lines were essentially the same in all but one treatment (check, 1972) when percent dry matter was compared to sucrose concentration during different years and locations (Figures 6A, C, D). The slopes of these regression lines were the same as those obtained at one location and year by plant water stress and dehydrazione of the roots (Figure 6A). The slope of the regression line changed because of N fertilizer \( N_f \); therefore, \( N_f \) seemed to be the major contributing factor to the decrease in sucrose concentration due to the decrease in percent dry matter (Figure 6A).

This was probably caused by major decreases in both percent dry matter and percent sucrose of the dry matter with N addition. Whereas, between locations and years at maximum sucrose yield, the difference in sucrose concentration can be attributed more to the change in percent dry matter than to the change in percent sucrose of the dry matter. However, because the slopes of the regression lines were uniform and the slopes of these lines were less than those for N differences alone, a factor or factors other than N level are probably major contributing factors to the differences in dry matter and sucrose concentration at the different locations and years. Some of these factors could be irrigation level, time of N uptake due to either application or soil location of the available N, growth patterns of the crop, climatic conditions during the season, or insect damage to the roots early in the season. All of these conditions could either increase or decrease the dry matter in the roots or delay the N uptake by the plants to a period in plant growth where it could have increasing effect on sucrose concentration.

Figure 6. Effect of percent dry matter on sucrose percentage in the wet root in: A) Same year and same location with varying N and moisture levels in 1977, B) Different years and same location (1966-1979), C) Same year and different locations (Check and maximum sucrose yield in 1971), D) Same year and different locations (Check and maximum sucrose yield in 1972).

Beta varieties, varying widely in their root yield potential and sucrose concentration, were grown at one location at two N levels in 1980 (5) and showed an important relationship between dry matter and sucrose concentration (Table 3). There was an inverse linear relationship between root yield and dry matter or sucrose concentration in sugar beet roots grown at farm level irrigation \( M_1 \) and at mid- to late-season moisture stress \( (M3) \) within each N level. The sucrose concentration in the roots was primarily dependent upon the dry matter concentration within the roots with a lesser but still important sucrose concentration within the dry matter. Increased N level, as previously shown, reduced the sucrose concentration in the wet roots by reducing the percent dry matter and the sucrose concentration of the dry matter. Therefore, sucrose yield was primarily dependent upon the dry matter yield at the different irrigation levels.
Table 3. Effect of root yield on percent root dry matter and percent sucrose, percent root dry matter on percent sucrose, and dry matter yield of roots on sucrose yield as affected by N fertilizer level, mid- to late-season moisture stress, and Beta genotype.†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertilizer</th>
<th>Regression equation r</th>
<th>Regression equation r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kg/ha</td>
<td>Root Yield (Yd) on Root Dry Matter, %</td>
<td>Root Yield (Yd) on Sucrose, % wet wt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>41.1 - 0.210 Yd 0.92</td>
<td>39.1 - 0.197 Yd 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>36.3 - 0.155 Yd 0.95</td>
<td>36.2 - 0.163 Yd 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Yield (Yd) on Sucrose, % wet wt.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>31.2 - 0.163 Yd 0.91</td>
<td>29.7 - 0.155 Yd 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>27.9 - 0.126 Yd 0.94</td>
<td>26.8 - 0.127 Yd 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Dry Matter, % (DM) on sucrose, % wet wt.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>-0.98 + 0.787 DM 0.99</td>
<td>-1.03 + 0.783 DM 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>-1.70 + 0.812 DM 0.98</td>
<td>-0.99 + 0.758 DM 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Dry Matter Yield (YDM) on sucrose Yield†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>-2.10 + 0.848 YDM 0.95</td>
<td>-0.37 + 0.753 YDM 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>1.39 + 0.656 YDM 0.82</td>
<td>1.06 + 0.650 YDM 0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Two commercial, two experimental, two fodder beet, and two fodder beet-sugarbeet combination varieties included in data. Whole root (root + crown) harvested for yield determination.

‡N = Farm level irrigation, N₀ = 1 August water cutoff.

§b (Common standard error of the slopes) = 0.011, §S₀ = 0.009, §b = 0.022, +§b = 0.063.

water and N levels. This emphasizes the point that the variety of sugarbeets grown within any climatic zone and N level can have an influence on the sucrose concentration and yields obtained.

Within any climatic zone, season, and variety, the major contributing factors that affect sucrose concentration is the amount of N uptake by the plant, the plant growth period of the N uptake, and the water status of the plant. Petiole NO₃-N is an excellent indicator of the N status of the crop at any time during the growing season (27). The level and the rate of change in petiole NO₃-N reflects the net uptake and N assimilation rates. Therefore, the accumulative effect of both time and N uptake rate is taken into consideration when petiole NO₃-N is used to determine the effect of N on sucrose concentration and growth patterns of sugarbeets. The N status late in the season can be predicted from soil and petiole samples (17) or peatole samples (6) taken earlier in the season. Sucrose concentration at harvest at one location during the same year (1968) was shown to be inversely related to the amount of N fertilizer that was applied preplant (r = 0.99), to the NO₃-N concentration on 21 August (r = 0.96), and to the average (r = 0.99) or integrated average (r = 0.99) petiole NO₃-N from 8 July to 21 August (8). In the work described, petiole NO₃-N was used to determine the N status throughout the season at maximum sucrose yield for sugarbeets grown with adequate water at different locations during the same year (Figure 7B) and at the same location (Kimberly) during different years (Figure 7A). High sucrose concentration, in every case, depended upon an early N₀ (peak NO₃-N concentration) and upon a decline to a low NO₃-N level during the latter part of the growing season. The peak concentration, N₀, was attributed to high available soil and fertilizer N and a low rate of N use by the plant which usually occurred during the early growth stages. If this peak concentration is delayed due to known or unknown problems in plant growth, low sucrose concentration in the roots may occur. The level of NO₃-N in the petioles at N₀ seems to have little effect on the sucrose concentration provided that the rate of decline in petiole NO₃-N is high enough so that low levels are obtained during the latter part of the growing season. The critical low range for NO₃-N has been established at 1000 ppm (27) and experience in this area indicated that petiole NO₃-N should be near 1000 ppm by 20 August to maximize yields, sucrose concentration, and purity. A better method of determining the N status for high sucrose concentration may be the use of the average or integrated average petiole NO₃-N during August when the maximum sucrose is being partitioned to the roots for storage (11). The integrated average is preferred because it can be predicted at mid-season using the given equation (Figure 7). Generally, the petiole NO₃-N concentration during August was associated with the level of sucrose concentration at harvest at any one location. However, there was variation caused by site location.

Maximum sucrose yield was obtained at rather high petiole NO₃-N levels late in the season at some locations where added
Figure 7. Effect of year (A) and location (B) on the NO$_3$-N concentration in sugarbeet petioles and its effect on sucrose concentration in the wet roots. * N is the NO$_3$-N concentration at time t, N$_0$ is the concentration at the first sampling date after the peak occurs, t is any time after the first sampling date, and C is a constant for any given treatment or beet field (6)

$$ N = N_0 - C (t - t_0)^2$$

where $N_0$ is the integrated average

$$t_0 = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} \quad t_1 = 8/1, \quad t_2 = 9/1.$$

N was necessary to achieve yield benefits. This was probably caused by high levels of N being available from residual sources in the lower soil profiles caused by past management practices that resulted in N accumulation. This resulted in low sucrose concentration on all treatments including the zero-N treatment. Yield benefits were achieved by fertilizer application to a N deficit surface soil by its effect on early plant growth. In every case, where the field was prepared for sugarbeets by growing a grain crop without fertilizer (1968b, 1971, 1977, 1978), maximum sucrose yield was obtained at low levels of petiole NO$_3$-N during August resulting in high sucrose concentration at harvest.

The results of these experiments at several different locations and years showed that sucrose concentration in the sugarbeet roots was the result of the level of dry matter concentrations and the sucrose concentration within the dry matter of the roots. Within any climatic zone, these factors are normally controlled by the effect of N level on plant growth and the irrigation water level imposed on the plants. Optimum N levels applied early in the growing season will cause early N uptake and plant growth. Early plant growth will optimize leaf area early in the season when solar energy is highest. Under these conditions, photosynthetic production will be maximized for the location resulting in high sucrose concentration and yields. Addition of excess N or N uptake late in the season when sucrose storage is highest, will result in the energy from solar radiation being used for top growth rather than for sucrose storage causing lower or low sucrose concentration within the roots. These effects of N on sucrose concentration directly affects the root and sucrose yields. However, increased sucrose concentration within the roots by moisture stress placed on the roots by limiting irrigations, is basically caused by dehydration of the roots and has little, if any, effect on sucrose production at harvest.

In the production of high quality roots, it is extremely important that fields be selected for the growth of this crop that have low levels of residual N at all soil depths that are within the root zone of sugarbeets. Fields where past management has applied too much N for the crop grown or has leached the N to lower depths within the profile, should be avoided in sugarbeet production. Soil testing at all depths within the root zone of sugarbeets would be advantageous and would locate fields favorable for quality beet root production. However, soil testing by universities, commercial consultants, and fertilizer companies do not normally sample or recommend sampling below 60 cm. Past cropping and N fertilizer management provides an alternate
way to determine the fields that are favorable or unfavorable for sugarbeet production if the actual soil N levels are not available.

Fields where a grain crop such as wheat, barley, or corn was grown without N fertilizer or under optimum N fertilization for the crop, have been shown to use up most of the surface and deep N and if the subsequent sugarbeet crop is fertilized according to a reliable soil test, high quality sugarbeet roots should be produced. Whereas, fields where legumes or shallow rooted crops such as potatoes were grown with N fertilizer addition, could contain high levels of N in the lower soil profile and may be detrimental to the production of high quality sugarbeet roots.

The sucrose concentration in the beet roots has been shown to be highly dependent upon the total N uptake and the time the N is taken up by the plant. Within any field, excellent relationships exist between N uptake and sucrose concentration in the roots. However, between fields and years, total N uptake gives only an indication of sugarbeet quality because of the effect of residual N and its location within the profile. Petiole NO₃-N reflects the net effect of N uptake and assimilation rates, and the time that the N is taken up by the sugarbeet. Therefore, an accurately determined petiole NO₃-N for the entire season by methods proposed should give a predictable indication of the quality of the beet root at harvest from samples taken early in the season. However, additional research is needed so that climatic factors between locations and years, and the actual N uptake can be considered for more accurate predictability of actual sucrose concentration in the sugarbeet roots at harvest.

SUMMARY

Data collected at several locations in southern Idaho since 1966 on sugarbeets (Beta vulgaris L.) were used to identify and evaluate the effects of factors and conditions that significantly affect sucrose concentration and root quality such as N application, N uptake, irrigation levels, location, year, and growth patterns during the growing season. Optimum N application applied preplant or during the early plant growth stages, maintains sucrose concentration to a near maximum level for the season. Excessive and late N fertilizer application and plant N uptake from fertilizer or residual N sources caused an increasing proportion of the photosynthate to be used for top growth at the expense of sucrose accumulation in the roots. Increased sucrose concentration caused by irrigation water deficit results from dehydration of the beet roots and does not increase sucrose yield. Fields used for sugarbeet growth should be carefully selected so that the surface and subsoil contain low levels of residual N and N should be applied to these fields in amounts needed for maximum sucrose yield as determined by soil tests. Petiole NO₃-N reflects the net effect of N uptake and assimilation rates, and the time that the N is taken up by the sugarbeet plant indicating that it can be used to predict sucrose concentration within the roots at harvest.
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